



## **Board Meeting, 5**

2021-11-11, 17:00-21:00

Kerstins Rum,

AF-Borgen

The Board 21/22

Association of Foreign Affairs, Lund

**Attending:** Henrietta Kulleborn, John Bahadir Sirin, Chunchu Song, Ondrej Gomola, Rebecca Majewski, Ellen Löfgren, Emma Rohman, Ismar Didic, Camilla Castelanelli, Jette Strohschneider, Magda Andersson, Sofia Gierow, Eveline Verhaar, Annie Anderek, Miljaemilia Wala, Karolina Boyoli, Nicolas Jendi

Co-opted: Freeman Elliott Gunnell, Vera Bibas, Andreas Forsander

Not attending: Johan Schneider, Christopher Fletcher-Sandersjö, Jan Trudrung, Caroline Danielsson, Linnea Svensson-Rotberg

1. Opening of the Meeting

*President Henrietta Kulleborn declares the meeting open at 17:29*

2. Election of Chair of the Meeting

*Henrietta Kulleborn is proposed as the chair of the meeting*

*Henrietta Kulleborn is by acclamation passed as chair of the meeting*

3. Election of Secretary of the Meeting

*Andréas Forssander is proposed as secretary for the meeting*

*Andréas Forssander is by acclamation passed as chair of the meeting*

4. Election of Attesters and Vote Counters of the Meeting

*Camilla Castelanelli and Magda Andersson are  
nominated as vote counters and attestors*

*Camilla Castelanelli and Magda Andersson are passed by  
acclamation as attestors and vote counters*

5. Approval of the Electoral Roll

*The Electoral Roll is set to 17*

6. Approval of the Agenda

*Nicolas Jendi proposes change of point nine and thirteen*

*The Agenda is approved with the changes on point nine and thirteen*

7. Decision Point: Ratification of PC Decision

7.1. Way forward with the legal issue

*Henrietta - One PC decision since last board meeting*

*Supposed to do with moving forward with legal issue*

*Last decision not to pay*

*We got actual letters addressed*

*Need a new decision what to do with the paper form*

*Decision is to start conversation with the person in question*

*How it was divided:*

- 1. E-mailing and starting dialog with lawyer*
- 2. Ignoring and do nothing*

*3. Pay the asked summed*

*12 in favor for emailing*

*3 in favor for ignoring the message*

*2 in favor of paying the asked sum*

*17/22 voted*

*Dialogue is started, is the board still agreeing on this decision?*

*Is the board ratifying the PC Decision?*

*The board ratifies the PC Decision*

**8. Information Point: Crisis Group Update**

*Word handed over to Miljaemilia Wala.*

*As of now no new updates for the moment.*

*Henrietta: we have this information for updates*

**9. Decision Point: Project of the Year**

*Sent in by Karolina Boyoli*

*Sent in by Elliot*

*Karolina presents the project of the year:*

*Want us to start buying in medals to hand out to active members, invest in pins, ribbons, and bows, haven't specified what I think we should invest in*

*Great way to market ourselves, they will see our colors and medals*

*Other associations have the same things, it's a good incentive for people to join our association*

*Questions to Karolina Boyoli – none*

*Henrietta – How are we going to spend the 10 000 kronor?*

*Karolina Boyoli – In order to be able to buy band will cost around 2 000, a lot of meters, will cover this year and upcoming years.*

*It's up to the group who work with this to decide what types of medals we want to have. There are several options and different options lead to different solutions.*

*Allocating 2500 for ribbons, rest for medals*

*We don't have sittings like other associations do right now, that's why this should be allocated to physical*

*How many years are the investments for?*

*Henrietta: Depends on the shape and the material, there will be needs for reinvestment in the upcoming years.*

*Bahadir – How much do people value these medals? Enough to attend?*

*Henrietta: In my experience, yes. People like this. Once active you will be able to differentiate based on colors and medals. Recognizable.*

*Jette – Will it be overseen by the project group?*

*Henrietta: No, the working group will do the work.*

*Update electoral roll to 18*

*Spring conference*

*Elliot – Website trustee, for live show*

*Proposes that UPF should do more in-person events*

*We can do a conference this year, to increase the dialogue for foreign affairs. Create a network and discussion forum. Fabio, partner, wants to put forward the idea of the sustainable future, after cob 26, looking forward to future solutions. What would our world look like?*

*Use the funds to host the conference here at borgen*

*Get all of UPF involved in this to give a great experience and have a variety of speakers*

*No firm ideas, yet we want to start the discussion of creating an annual conference*

*Bahadir – How much of a budget do you need?*

*Elliot: 1000 dollars could be used, but if there are other sponsors that can hold donors in, we can open up to other donors. Right now it would supplement the running costs.*

*Ondrej – How much are you looking to spend?*

*Do you have any concrete ideas what to do with the conference?*

*Elliot - The vision is panel discussion, meet and greet with panelist, Q&A, different activities happening at the same time, different lectures in different rooms, fika events, IONI discussions. A flexible kind of event. A testament to what we want to create here now, we want to include you all in this creative idea*

*Ellen – Theme, sustainable future, different issues, it needs to be more narrowed down, its abstract. Many conferences like this are going on, how do we stand out? Consider having workshops, you need interaction. Not just observers. Some tweaks can be nice.*

*Henrietta – This is for questions, not other values*

*Miljaemilia – When? Do you have a specific time?*

*Elliot - We're looking at May as a possibility to host the conference, there is a stationarity week happening at Lund, that would be a great time, there is a little bit of hope in Lund. It can add to optimism.*

*Eveline – How big are you thinking? We are doing a career fair this Tuesday; How big outreach are you thinking*

*Elliot - Members of upf are the primary attention. Auxiliary audience, not sure on what numbers can land on, Ballpark, under 300. Over that were in uncharted territories.*

*Chunchu – Kind of similar to a lecture, what can show that it is a special event?*

*Elliot - What makes it different is the interactive component, getting people involved, meet and greet, workshop, we can come up with ideas that include people at the conference. That's different. It is temporal, takes place on a full day and differs a lot from lectures.*

*Emma – No questions*

*Camilla – How many would you need in a working group?*

*Are you thinking of an entry fee for non-members?*

*Elliot - No more than ten people.*

*Nonmember – yes, charge them. We cannot provide a free service.*

*Sofia – Have you done anything similar?*

*Elliot - NO.*

*Question part is ended*

*Now the discussion takes place between proposals*

*Nicolas – Two things, 1 Karolina's idea is good, even if it's not a project of the year scenario it's strange that we don't have medals because we are old and big, it's fun, increases our visibility and stature. It should be taken up at a later time, regardless of its project of the year.*

*Electoral roll is set at 17*

*Nicolas – Climate conference interesting, may be a great month, carnival this time, we need workers, people to attend. It's a matter of timing, June is also bad. I'm not sure about the work loath, the time frame is my main concern. May is quite soon. The theme is good, but we should focus on the FOREIGN POLITICS*

*Henrietta – Working group open for board members and members to apply. Board members are not obliged to the working groups, but at least one board member should partake.*

*Some attendees dropped out of Zoom.*

*The electoral roll changed to 15*

*Rebecca – Agree with Nicholas regarding the medals, in general it would be cool. We want to have that for bals.*

*Ellen – Medals are highly overrated, it's not worth it, you could do more cool stuff for that money. This project should not go to medals. The conference is a great idea, some tweaks are needed but they are not a massive issue. It's a great opportunity for recruitment. The medal idea comes from that, right? An event framed as an interactive thing, then you can gain a lot of members. I prefer the second alternative.*

*Miljaemilia – We have a lot of int. students, Lund student life, medals are appreciated, for some, maybe. I don't want a medal, I don't know if others feel the same. I think that a conference is more of an interesting way for a project. At the start allocating money yearly for medals in upcoming years.*

*Ondrej – Bigger fan of conference, medals are material that end up in the trash, the conference will add a lot more value to the association as a whole for the future.*

*Concerned about the budget, I know the needed workload and the restricted budget you have. The budget needs to be looked into, I encourage the idea, but you need more money.*

*Sofia – What UPF lacks is not events and projects, we do a lot of that. We lack a little bit of SWAG. Doesn't have to be medals only, but we can have patches for your clothes. A conference would be great, if possible, but I don't think the budget is enough. We lack people to attend events.*

*Emma – What UPF do is to come together, it's about foreign affairs, politics, medals are only material goods.*

*Nicolas – Medals can be picked up at any point. Part of our recruitment-problems is that we are not embedded as other associations. One strength, we are in a unique city that doesn't have the student life that we have – but I do think that this is one of the reasons that we don't connect with students in the long term. The medals are a small factor but adds up to the giving back to the members. Part is having obscure traditions.*

*Chunchu – I prefer the medals if they are not paid by me. For me it's very special, I will keep it forever. The conference sounds fantastic, but we should be realistic – the budget is tight and maybe it won't turn out as we imagined.*

*Camilla – Medals are over-appreciated. Something more reaching that sticks, learning, is more interesting. I know that conferences are costly and take a lot of work, but if we start now something good can come from it. Two years ago, they managed to have a conference. They were able to invite from the EP. This is why I'm more prone to Elliot's idea*

- None medal part, is there a way to combine the two? Adding some swag.

Henrietta – Technically, they two could merge. But I would need boths approval, Karolina Boyoli is not here at the moment.

Ondrej – One of the things: We are split: The medals could be implemented at any stage; it should be a part of the association. Conference adds greater value, elevates the association a bit more, it must be really good though. Medals can be as an annual fixture of the association

Rebecca – Could we have the medals in a different way? Nations have tack-sittings and other associations, in general many students want that. It doesn't have to be the project of the year.

Nicolas – People don't work for free, they work for CV's, sittnings, we do have an active members fund, recruitment fund – I'm thinking that can be used. Even 20 k might not be enough, it might be enough for a themed and substantial recruitment drive – we can call it a conference. We have money for that kind of idea. We hold lectures anyway. We can fuse with lectures. Project of the year can be combined with other funds. Podcast, workshop, lectures, recruitment, etc.

Henrietta – Medals and recruitment can be put under r-fund, active membership fund, we have a lot of other expenses planned – possible lawsuit. Always possible for anyone within the board to write a motion about how the fund should be used – asking to set aside a portion of money. How do we want to spend THIS BUDGET POST?! 10 000 kronor that we can use. If we get sponsorship it would work, if we get more funding it's fine.

Miljaemilia – Q for Elliot – Would you be interested in being part of organizing this project? Answer – YES. We suggested in the proposal that we should do it.

Henrietta – We have in the past organized conferences with this money, the NCIS, started as a project of the year in Lund – meaning that conferences can snowball. However, the board decides what to do with this money. It's a big thing får 10 000 kronor.

Henrietta proposes end to debate

End of debate is called

The voting is for going forward with one of the options, open for altering



*Electoral Roll 15*

*Proposal no 1 – 4 (Medals)*

*Proposal no 2 – 7 (Conference)*

*Abstained – 4*

*The spring forward has been approved.*

*Next meeting the project group will be discussed.*

*Break at 18:28 for 10 minutes*

*Elliot left the meeting*

*Return to meeting 18:42*

10. Discussion Point: UPF Stance on Question during the UFS Annual Assembly

*Background: I will be your representative (Henrietta), I will make all the decisions (stances of upf lund on national level)*

*All documents are sent in advance: What do you think? I need you to agree since I'm representing all of you.*

*Speakers:*

*Rebecca – Who attends?*

*Henrietta – If you guys can come for the sittning, basically it's only the people who are attending the annual meeting. Me, other presidents, previous upf board.*

*Should we give discharge to the last board?*

*Nicolas – Any need for not?*

*Henrietta – they were cleared by the auditors*

*Nicolas – so For me its ok then*

*Henrietta: Presentation of the budget report, anything to add?*

*The meeting has nothing to add.*

*Henrietta: Either give me yes, or no. Thumbs up*

*Presentation annual report – yes*

*Progress report 3 year operational goals – yes*

*Budget report – yes*

*Financial statement – yes*

*Audit reports – discharging this board*

*Amendments of bylaws – yes*

*Setting of the board members - yes*

11. Decision Point: Discrimination and f Harassment Contact

*Two applicants for the position*

*First applicant, Klara Forsberg, couldn't come*

*Vera Bibas is here*

*Vera presents herself*

*Questions are asked to Vera*

*Vera leaves the room*

*The board discusses the applicant present*

*The board finishes the discussion*

*The board decides to vote*

*Electoral roll 15*

*Klara - 1*

*Vera - 12*

*Abstained – 2*

*The board finds that Vera Bibas has been voted to the new Discrimination & Sexual Harassment contact person*

*Vera enters the room again as the new Discrimination & Sexual Harassment contact person*

12. Discussion Point: Go over to only in-person activities

*Discussion paper in board meeting folder*

*Henrietta sent it in because we need to discuss this now. It's good for us to have in mind when planning the next semester. It's good for recruitment, for people to know who we are. This should be from January 2022. Opinions?*

*Eveline – I really like the idea, we must be cautious to not declare covid as gone. We should insert a reservation that we have the opportunity to adjust if changes come.*

*Nicolas – It's a good idea. There's no consideration for hybrid meetings, do we need a rule for it? It might be easier to keep hybrid that doesn't hinder us from switching from online to in-person. Keep flexibility, in general I don't see any reason not to go in-person.*

*Henrietta – POC – It's only a discussion, not a motion. We must know where we stand as a board.*

*Rebecca – Exchange-students can be uncomfortable. If we have the opportunity to have it, hybrid can get us to reach out to more people. Sweden is unique, people coming here might be scared.*

*Sofia – We are the only people doing the hybrid at Lund right now. It's not a lot of work, we have 5-10 viewers, but attendance is between 20-30, not a lot. Height of covid was about 30 people, so about the same. Until yesterday I felt no, but now, as it is not a lot of work, I feel no.*

*Eveline Verhaar – Still a bit of reluctance among companies to come to us, that in mind, we should be a bit careful. It does pay off to organize online.*

*Magda – We also have Swedish guests who also are hesitant.*

*Jette – Don't force anyone to not do hybrids, it can marginalize the risk group, people who cannot get vaccinated get sidestepped.*

*Henrietta – Do we have a problem getting people here? It would be only for our members to meet in person, this doesn't apply to utskottsmöte.*

*I'm a bit worried that if we continue to keep it hybrid that Swedish students will find us "backwards" and not fun. We might lose a recruitment-base. That's why I want to bring this up. We have different sets of people, Swedish vs. international students.*

*Q Ondrej – What would this effect, what will come out of this?*

*Henrietta – Crisis group, note that we might want to change policy, right now we have hybrid. Should we continue, how should we market?*

*Camilla – November is a tricky month, in Denmark it's rising. There might be stricter restrictions that might impact our guests, we should take into consideration that Sweden is a sort of "happy place" where restrictions are recommendations. We should be as flexible as possible, keeping in mind guests and people who cannot arrive. We can take a couple of months before we decide. Activity and career have things in person, the only hybrid we have are lectures. We have people coming and attending online. No need to decide between two right now.*

*Ellen – Does the document say we need to have an option.*

*Miljaemilia – It says we recommend taking into consideration to be as inclusive as possible.*

*Ellen – If it says recommend, I don't care*

*Ondrej – propose end of debate*

*Do the board agree?*

*Yes!*

*No more to the speakers list*

*Nicolas – The social aspect should not be understated, it sounds like a matter of language. There is nothing strange about live-streaming lectures. We could drop the word "hybrid" and just have it online at the same time. If its recommendations we should do it because it can make us look better. Changing language can make us more approachable. If members don't want to show up they can have a zoom-link.*

*Henrietta – Add to discussion – We should talk about, next semester, everything is in person for university. Maybe they won't continue to pay for Zoom? LECTURE HAS AN ACCOUNT!*

*Miljaemilia – University will not have the zoom-option, so in a way we should follow what they are doing since we are a student union under the university.*

***Summary: Status quo***

Vera leaves the meeting at 19:23

13. Motions

13.1. Decision Point: LDS Memorial Fund

*We are on the verge of merging with LDS. Raises the question about how their capital should be used. We have created a new thing, planning on creating the fund. In the document you can see what the fund looks like. Simon has written the proposals.*

***LDS representative Simon Sällström enters the room 19:25***

*Simon says that the LDS issue is continuity. A lot of the members were exchange students, there weren't people around to keep the knowledge base high and teach the next generation. That is the condition for this grant, that's why it's an element. I want to give you guys some context on why the merge.*

*In the bylaws its stated that the money has to go to something that goes in line with our purpose. Promote debating in Lund, through BP-style. There are a lot of ways to interpret this – straightforward – money goes to people debating in BP-format. Good to gain skills.*

*First of all – section one, name not important*

*Two – purpose, BP-style community. Benefits of this is that there is a lively international community with people from all over the world. So it's a unique thing in beeing able with beeing able to engage with people across the world and travel. You have intellectual exchange of ideas. You will get int. connections*

*Three – Elegibility – Memberships, if you are representing Lund it would make sense to be a member of Lund University.*

*If no one is given the scholarships, then the proposal is that extra calls for applicants can be made. Smaller tournaments.*

*Custodians, UPF, you are in control of the money. There rules that guide the funds are located in the document. The president has the right to interpret ambiguity.*

*Sex – selection – The board decide even though the debate co-ordinators want to decide who should get the money. They should have the time to go through the applications.*

*Main selection criteria – found in document*

*Important – help future students to learn and do the same things, maintain debating space in Lund. Continuity is important.*

*Maybe not students for 1-2 semesters, they should be around for more time.*

*We have a couple of debaters who want to stay around – external judges could evaluate if someone is applying for grants to travel to competitions.*

*Applicants shouldn't have received funds before.*

*Amounts – European – 75% of registration fee (or 2000 kronor)*

*World – more costly – 75% or registration fee (or 3000 kronor)*

*The main idea is to reduce the cost of attending.*

*The rest are more technical details, don't get to have a scholarship more than once. Don't want to throw away money, but some parts are up for debate.*

*Opening up for questions.*

*Henrietta – Is this just for subsidizing the registration fee?*

*Simon: Yes. It could also be for travel expenses.*

*Henrietta – Annual meeting should have the right to make amendments. The board cannot decide what the annual meeting can decide. Is it necessary for the annual meeting to make amendments? Can the board do it?*

*Simon - We grant only UPF to change this document. It's about making sure that it's not easy to change the stadgar. We wan't the*

*UPF annual meeting to change because we are handing this over to the UPF. These are our terms. At the next annual meeting you can change this. The board can make changes to this text. Normally scholarships are set up so they can't be changed. Section 8 adds flexibility, but it's not super easy to change the text. There is an interest on our side that the document is harder to change.*

*Henrietta – Karolina Boyoli points.*

*Eligibility – Registered at Lund University – for us it's only necessary to be a part of Studentlund. If you're competing for University, it could be nice to compete for Lund.*

- *This can be removed, we are a small region, we can get exceptions. They can most likely compete under Lund. We can say that they have to be members of UPF.*
  - o *Write that any member of Studentlund is eligible. They cannot go to competitions if they aren't students anyway.*

*Statutes – Changed the name to regulations. UPF has one statute. Simon thinks this is okay.*

*Nicolas – Proposal, don't call it LDS memorial, sounds like someone has died, change to LDS legacy fund.*

*Simon – I like it as well. I support the idea.*

*Propose change regarding who is eligible to receive scholarship*

*Propose change 'statues' to 'regulations'*

*Propose name change from 'LDS memorial fund' to 'LDS legacy fund'*

*Simon: Perhaps removing one word, remove first 'international'*

*Proposals:*

*Henrietta merging with the other proposal*

*Change of name*

*Who is eligible to receive*

*Sofia proposes to change in section 4 statute to regulations  
Section 2, remove first international (before ties)*

*Discussions?*

*Can we decide to agree to create the LDS legacy fund?*

*YES*

*Accepting the regulations?*

*YES*

*Henrietta will send the finished version to you. We can't start the fund until we have the money, but the decision has gone through. Now the LDS can take it to their annual meeting, but the ball is in motion.*

*Simon leaves the meeting at 19:56.*

*The meeting takes a 10-minute break at 19:57*

*The meeting adjourned at 20:08*

### 13.2. Decision Point: Provide Tote Bags Active Members

*Henrietta - The motions as it is that we should provide tote bags for all the active members. Cost for 150 bags? Around 5000 Swedish kronas.*

*As for now, we don't have 150 active people.*

*Second point – hand out a few of them, sell the rest. We have spring and autumn to think of.*

*Questions?*

*Eveline – We get one as well, right?*

*Henrietta - Yes*

*Nicolas – We talk about giving them to active members, who is an active member? If we give away any to active members, then we can't sell them, we have to be clear with what an active member is. Why do*



*I pay and not them? The point is to increase visibility.*

*Miljaemilia – I agree with Nicholas, we have definitions of active members, it's hazy in a committee – who is active in the committee? Can it be a reward for finishing a semester as an active member? Could encourage people to take more responsibility. Problematizes what we are trying to achieve, visibility. Only members of trust are fewer than active members.*

*Ondrej – Issue of definition, webzine has 40 ppl in fb-group, but the attendees fluctuate. Set a minimum of attended meetings. We could give them out when they get engaged.*

*Chunchu – For active members we can decide that each committee is clear who's active and who's not, it can be decided by each committee.*

*Henrietta – We have a definition of what an active member is in our statute, it's the definition in the statute that counts.*

*Anyone who is a member of a committee can be handed out a tote bag in that case. If we want to change it we have to change 'active member' in the proposal.*

*Eveline – If we produce 150, why don't we just hand them out? The meaning is to be visible. Selling them is a weird construction, it's either all or nothing.*

*Ellen – It's hazy what an active member is. How about – produce 150, and then what? How are we handing them out? Letting people know that we are handing them about, ask for inquiries.*

*Camilla – Purchasing a bulk of bags, it's better to buy 150 and have spare ones when people are joining committees, we can hand them out to the newer active members.*

*Henrietta: 150 is because bulk price*

*Nicolas – Make it as easy as possible. It could be confusing to change the rules of the game. We could sell them at a low fee, active members get the word first, then the rest of the members. Roll them to get them out. If we want visibility we can sell it with a loss.*

*Ondrej – Two things. Selling defies their purpose. We want to reward members for being active, keep that in mind.*

*Economically – if you give away things for free, people hold no value*

*to things they get for free. Signing up to receive back might create a value chain. The point is to use the bag.*

*Nicolas – Good point, we can't really control whether they use them. We all communicate with committee members, we can explain to people who gets a bag and who doesn't.*

*Henrietta – Were not discussing distributing. 5000 kronor is quite a lot, we have to discuss where we want to take it. We could take it from other funds or other expenses, so we have to know where we can take the money. Selling gives an opportunity to make money plus gives visibility.*

*Ondrej – Does PR have a merchandise fund?*

*Henrietta – No. Either committee-money is used and it goes back. Or ask the board for the money.*

*Ondrej – The more you buy the lower the cost, it can be a future investment.*

*Henrietta – The money can be taken from different funds, or from our savings, but then we break the budget.*

*Nicolas – The recruitment fund is a good place to get the money, big fund. This is the reason we have a recruitment fund.*

*Is the board ready to go into decision?*

*Yes.*

*Does anyone want to send in another proposal?*

*Eveline – keep the first line, discuss the second one. When the bags are here, I don't know.*

*Sofia – You can keep the second part, because active members are going to have them.*

*Nicolas – Approve buying them and then make motions about what we want to do with them.*

*This would postpone the question.*

*Any proposals to change the motion?*

*One part at the time.*

*Do the board agree to buy 150 tote bags for around 5 000 kronor?*

YES

*Do the board agree to hand out some of these tote bags to active members?*

YES

13.3. Decision Point: Partly Finance the UFS Annual Assembly

*We will have it here at Lund, last meeting they asked for 5000 kronor. I have to ask the board, money will provide travel, venues, accommodation. UFS have budgeted 10 000, we will then add 5 000 – total 15 000.*

*Sofia – Where does the money come from? Henrietta – other expenses*

- *Is this a normal amount? Is it high or low?*

*Henrietta – It's been different between different years; last assembly was online. Not sure if high or low.*

*Miljaemilia – If I knew they would ask us to pay I wouldn't have agreed to having it here. It's too much money to ask for. I think it's too much, because we weren't informed of this. We took responsibility out of kindness. It's too much.*

*Henrietta – Proposing a lesser amount or no money at all?*

*Eveline – Where are they going to stay?*

*Henrietta - Apartment hostel 10 ppl, seven people at other places.*

*I know that just that costs 5 000, it's the cheapest we could find. There are costs for sitting as well.*

*Emma – Sitting, whos going?*

*Henrietta -. I haven't got that as a clear thing, I'm paying for the food, but I haven't got any clear answer. Don't know what we can decide, the mandate is unclear. We're also planning a pub, you're welcome. Planning on going out, you are welcome to join. Breakfast at the office on Sunday. You can do the fun social stuff.*

*Rebecca – Why would Lund pay, we are doing the work?*

*Henrietta - UFS pays for representatives and board members. Partly financed by the associations. Traditionally the host pays, it balances itself out.*

*Ondrej – We aren't we told in advance?*

*Henrietta - We understood it as it wouldn't cost us anything. Problem is different mandate-periods – meaning that decisions are taken at different times. Our resentment has been addressed. Technically we have the money. Let it be known: I'm not completely happy with this.*

*Eveline – Knowing all this, their organizational failure leads to us paying. It's fair, if we as a board, pay a part of it. The way this went is that they asked for money directly. It's fair that we signal that it's not okay how this went. We have the right to say something about that.*

*Sofia – Is this something we can formally complain about?*

*Henrietta - Yes, we are represented at the meeting.*

*Ellen – Is this what usually happens, does the host pay?*

*Henrietta - In the past, yes.*

*Ellen – if it's tradition they may take it for granted, and then it's not a massive deal.*

*Jette – We don't have to make such a fuss for the sum, it's just rude. Pay but don't say we like it.*

*End of debate proposed.*

*Any changes?*

*Can the board vote on it?*

*Are we ready for a decision?*

*Give the UFS 5 000 kronor for 2021*

*All in favor.*

*The board decides the question.*

## 14. Presidium Reporting

### 14.1. Presidents

*Skånör booked 11-12 february*

*Uppsala knowledge exchange 28-29 january*

*Find board meeting, extension to existing schedule*

*Still don't have hand over date*

*Deadline in may, tell us when you preferably want the meeting  
Fika – should be bought before the first committee meetings on  
Mondays – instructions on drive  
Recycling bin at the office  
Office hours planned on 25<sup>th</sup> of this month, AW  
Discussion about changing discourse about formalia, in order to  
make a different impression on how the board work is. It's important  
to fill our positions in the future. Board work is interesting. Making  
an effort on how we talk about formalia.  
Starting next board meeting – reporting done in bullet points*

14.2. Secretary

*Andréas reads the message received from Christopher:  
“We have gained 4 new members since our latest board meeting and  
are currently at 362 members. I want you all to tell your committee  
members to download the Cardskipper app as not all members have  
done that yet. The senior collegium working group has met up and  
have made steady progress in our work. We will be able to send out  
our first emails/messages very soon”.*

14.3. Treasurer not present

*In Germany at the moment, working regarding tax questions etc.  
Working together with reimbursements.*

14.4. UFS Representative not present.

15. Committee Reporting

15.1. Activity

*Nothing to report  
Ice skating coming up  
X-mas sittning 11 dec – tickets out for sale 16<sup>th</sup> of november  
Bal 23 of april*

15.2. Career

*Doing fine  
Johan can't respond at the moment, he is in the US  
Career fair on the Tuesday – tell members to come if you have  
meeting on Monday*

15.3. Lecture

*Booked all the lectures for the remaining of the semester  
Break next week, then til 8 december*

*I'm leaving as head of lecture after this semester*  
*Searching for a replacement for the board meeting in January*  
*Request for lecture – got e-mail from Palestinian ambassador*  
*who wants to be engaged in student life – is it too political?*  
*Depends on the topic.*  
*Nicholas – It could be fun*  
*Evelyn – You can do it with us, to make it less sensitive*  
*André – Our statutes say we have to be a-political – strife for balance*  
*Camilla – Balance out with a lecture regarding the Middle East*

15.4. Magazine

*Getting started on the next issue*  
*Looking into recruiting more people design*  
*Last issue should come out soon, 700 copies are printed*  
*Open for recruitment, getting them into libraries, handing out at*  
*lectures – happy with how they turned out*

15.5. Pod&Radio

*Pod coming out tomorrow, from Elliot*  
*Releasing live-shows that we have done*  
*Advent calendar coming out in 2 weeks, collaboration*  
*2 EU pods out*  
*Releasing the blog very soon*

- *Should we assume that people celebrate x-mas?*

*We've done it in a respectful way and have really looked into it.*  
*Winter holidays – not X-mas*

15.6. PR ‘

*Respect our guidelines, tell us at least one week before we have to*  
*post it*  
*Pictures will be taken of all the trustees in the coming weeks*  
*We will try to have them on SM before X-mas*  
*Google-sheet is sent out with availability, have a look at it (for the*  
*video photo-shoot)*  
*We will send reminders*

15.7. Travel

*Not present Millie presents*  
*Meeting with embassy of Sweden and then UNHCR*  
*Tomorrow Bundesbank, Council of FA, Lund alumnis working at the*  
*Reichstag – after the trip you can take part via media*

15.8. Webzine

*Publishing two articles per week for a few weeks*  
*Interesting articles coming out*  
*Progress and committee is working great*  
*Exited about collaboration, gonna be fun*

*Emma is in Paris next spring, looking how we can tackle that challenge – for now planning on staying – but depends on how things go and work out.*

*Henrietta: Takes nine weeks to replace or hire a new trustee*

16. Confirmation of Next Board Meeting

*2 of December, summon will be sent out next week*

17. Meeting Adjourned

*Meeting adjourned at 21:06*

  
Magda Andersson, Attester

  
Camilla Castelanelli, Attester

  
Christopher Fletcher-Sandersjö,  
Secretary

  
Henrietta Kulleborn, President